G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Edn 68-13. Pl 12, 336, 7, 944

Edn 2-14. Pl 3[illegible]45-

Edn [illegible]68-12. Pl 5-6

Edn [illegible]6-12. Pl 7, 8, 5-

Later references.

Fin. 455-1163-5

1916-17-97

1914.

(Edn)

File No. 2-164

Serial Nos. 134, 149, 197, 208

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 134 149, 197 & 208

Part B for November, 1915

SUBJECT.

Proposal to extend the foreign Scholarship of Mr. S. Gavalapuri Sastri for one year from the 1st of October, 1916.

Serial

From The D.P.I. of Education in Mysore

" " Accountant.

" " Director of Industries and Commerce

No. 6.37.39 dt. 25.10.1915.

Proposal the Extension of Mr Gavalapuri Sastry Scholarship for another year from 1.10.16.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—18-12-15

N Sitaramayya
11.1.16

1914.

No E 159
18 9 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Eou)

NOTES.

File No. 2

FILE HEADING.

Foreign Ships.

From

M. S. Ganalapmi Sastri

No. Petn. Dated 28.1.15 Received 23.2.15

Diary No. 1974	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No 134

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he has received no reply to his wire to the Comptr. to send him £25, that he will have either to contract debts or to give up his scheme of visiting important industrial concerns if he gets no money in time.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

I Dewan's orders on the above:—

'Secy. E & A will kindly furnish a brief note for next meeting of Council after placing the paper before the 1st Member of Council'

Jd. M. V.
22.2.15

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB - 20,000—8-8-21

Y. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | EOU File No. 2 of 1914.

Serial No.

Immediate

1/V/VI

2

Please put up
note showing
briefly

(1) what relief
often are
have been
denied to Mrs
parties.

(2) Find out from
Chapters VIII
what other language
Regulations are
of

CSS

18/2 23/12/1914 23/12

GPB—25,000-2 11-11

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.

3 A schp. of £ 200 per annum for 3 years was sanctioned to Mr. Garalapuri Sastri in G.O. no 22. 8. 13.

In addition to this

£ 30 was sanctioned as a loan in G.O. no. 7800-2/Edn 168-13-6 dt 13.2.14

£ 15 was sanctioned to be paid as an overdrawal on account of his abnormal conveyance owing to war

£ 50 was sanctd as an addl grant in G.O. no. 2096-8/Edn 2-14-45 dt 29.12.14 for purchasing instruments etc.

In addition to the Besides

4 ~~Absentees~~ an amount of £ 60 towards passage & £ 25 for equipment (this latter has been ordered to be recovered) the following sums have already been paid to Mr. Sastri :—

£ 40	in Augt. 1913	towards Schp.
£ 40	on diffr. dates on applⁿ.	
£ 40	in Jany. 1914	
£ 40	in April 1914	
£ 40	in July 1914	
£ 70	in Oct. 1914 (26.9.14)	
£ 40	in Jany. 1915	
£ 30	in March 1914 — loan	
+ £ 15	overdrawal.	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000 2 11-11

Serial No.

5 The addl. grant of £50 has also been authorised to be paid; intimation of payment from Messrs. Coutts & Co. has not yet been received.

6 The Comptl. Office have also authorised Messrs. Coutts & Co. to pay £40 on or after 1st April 1915 towards the next instalment of the subs.

7 The student has been in England since October 1913, and he has been given in all till now an amount of £490, out of which only £70 is to be recovered

8) Submd. for orders.

8/3/15
25.2.15

See 4/

Asst
25/2

9) It is no doubt desirable that Mr G. Sastri should visit these Colonies & learn what he can. In the matter of funds we have been treating him very liberally & for these journeys in England the United Kingdom & Ireland Mr G ought to be able to spare enough out of the regular scholarship amount. The rules do not contemplate such extra charges for journeys -

C&S
3/3/15

(Member Edcn)

8/3/15

10/3/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5921

GPB—25,000—2 11-11

G. R. S. 12] 1974

5

General and Revenue Secretariat

Eger File No. 2 of 1914.

Serial No.	
	A.D.O. letter (no 103 dt 24.2.15) received from the D.E is also placed in the file Book 25/3 Side page 40
149	11 Draft letter to the D.E. approved by the Dewan. 25/3 12 Jts. Do. to D.E. 3601 dt 29.3.15 states that the schp. already sanctioned should suffice and that the views of Govt. re-factory-Eg. have already been intimated. From the D.E. of Edn. 17342-3/788 dt 21.4.15 Forwards for the information of Govt. copies of letters with enclosures from Mr. Ganalapuri Sastri on his entering a soap factory for practical training. 13 Mr. Sastri has again been asked by the D.E. to intimate the decision of the Directors of Messrs. Gerard & brothers, Nottingham in taking him as an apprentice, and the reply to the D.E. will communicate to this office after the receipt of reply. 14 The premium to be paid is £105- per annum; and the scholar wants to sign his bond only for 2 years while the course is to last for 3 yrs 15 Submd. for orders, as to whether the D.E's further com^n. may be awaited the D.E. is silent about the disburse-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000 2 11-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Edn File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.	
	ments of his file Schs. for the third year.
	8/5. Put up Hon'ble Member for perusal 3.5.15 Secy
	1st/ Sub to 7st member for perusal The D Gs further communication may be awaited.
	1st Perused by the Hon. Member
	1st/
197	From the D.G. of Edn. 1789/71 d 28.7.15 Submits copy of a draft agreement to be entered into by the Mysore Govt. and Mr. S. Ganalapuri Sastri with Gerard Brothers Nottingham, for Mr. Sastri being trained as an apprentice in that firm. 2) The opinion of the Govt. Advocate has also been enclosed. If the alteration proposed by the Govt. Advocate is deemed necessary the D.G. will write to the

Recorded 8 Sheets. 1913

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

417

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Edn File No. 2 of 1914

7

Serial No.

Company's Solicitor. If the draft-
is to be approved of as it is the Schp.
now sanctioned will have to be
extended at least by another year,
viz. from 1st Oct. 1916, and not-
from 1st Oct. 1915 as stated by the D.G.,
as the Schp now sanctioned runs
until 30th Sept. 1916.

2 Submd. for orders. The premium
S/S. to be paid is £105 per
13.8.15 annum. Perhaps Rr. II
Ld may also be consulted.
22. Branch II
Ly
13/8

* N.

Tlw. v. 276 of 1516

Sub.
13.8.15

Sub'd.
[illegible]
14.8.15

23 16/8/15

24

I beg to invite attention to my note dated 15-10-14 in file No. Edn. 168-13 of May 1915 to the opinion expressed in which I still adhere. Soap-making is one of the simplest of chemical industries and many men have learnt soap-making in Europe and practised it on a factory scale in India without spending one-fourth of the huge sum proposed to be spent on Garlapuri Sastri's training. (vide statement attached). I do not think any other Government

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4 15.

8

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Fde File No. 2 of 191 4

Serial No.	
	Government would ever think of spending Rs.25,000 to teach a single individual such a thing as soap-~~making~~ boiling. The Europe-trained soap expert referred to in my note of 15-10-14 is now in the employ of the Mysore Government and has satisfied Mr. Chatterton, Dr. Watson of the Indian Institute as well as the Industries Committee that he is a thoroughly competent soap-maker according to the latest methods. He set up and successfully worked the Oriental Soap Factory of Calcutta for a number of years and the factory is still flourishing. It would be far better to spend the money proposed to be paid away in scholarships to Garalpuri and in premium on his behalf, in purchasing machinery for the expert whom we have got and then get Garalpuri to learn soap-making under him. Expert opinion may be invited as to whether that would not be a far more useful way of spending the money. If my humble suggestion be ~~adopted~~ accepted, the training got by Garalpuri would be much more useful and suitable to Indian conditions and Government would be creating an industry at the same time as getting an expert trained . In my opinion to spend a sum of about Rs. 25,000 (and besides undertake a risk of Rs. 75,000) is far too much for training a soap boiler and a smaller sum should be sufficient ~~for~~ not only for training an expert but in getting a moderate sized soap factory as well. In fact, the Industries Committee have applied to Government for a sum of Rs. 15,000 for getting machinery for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

Serial No.

for a soap factory. If this is sanctioned and Garalpuri recalled and placed in subprdinate charge of the factory he will get a good training. The theoretical training which Garalpuri has got in these two years will stand him in good stead in his practical training in India.

25 Amount already drawn by Mr. Garalpuri Sanctry.

Last two years.

Passage	£ 60		
Scholarship @ 200	£400		
Grant for Instruments, etc.,	50	£ 510 or	Rs. 7,650

Amount to be drawn viz from 1st Oct 1915

Next two years.

Scholarship @ £200	£ 400		
Premium @ £ 105	£ 210	£ 610 or	Rs. 9,150

Further one year.

Scholarship	£ 200		
Premium	£ 105	£ 305 or	4,575
plus Return Passage	£ 60	or	Rs. 900
			Rs. 22,275.

26 Besides this there are some loans given to Garalpuri and there is likelihood of something being paid to the gentleman who has helped him by introducing him to Messrs Gerard Brothers.]

Boo V(1)

19.8.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | EE" File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.

1 Submd. with the opinion of the Financial Secy.

8/4. 2. May it please Your Excellency to direct Mr. Secretary Wilkinson to state whether the practical training in Soap making which Mr. Sarkies is willing to give will be free of cost or whether as suggested by Mr. Le Fanu he may be allowed for such in the usual manner.

(Sd.) W. Secretary)

24. 8. 15

Vide Secretary's remarks.

V There seems to be much force in the Financial Secretary's remarks. Mr. Sarkies's Factory I believe will not be able to command the capital required to start a factory independently and he can only be employed in a soap factory. Even there he will have to occupy a subordinate position for some time and as it is proposed to start a soap

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4 15.

U

U

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.	
	factory the large amount to be paid as a premium to may be obliged to pay the owner. If for purpose of development of the owner it is considered necessary to give Mr. Shastri a further training, I think he may send him or again Col. Dr. Deighton should be consulted
	2nd Member 28/5
	1st Member 29/5
	30. I fully agree with the views expressed by the H. Secy. I am not sure if Mr. Lamb will be able to do more than what the Loop expect — we have lost many men in the I. & C. Commission, has been doing — Rs 25,000 & from the Punch & he sent on any further individual & for training than the Bombay is after all one that could not be called a 'Mission' one — the views of the President of I & C. Mission, in the circumstances, be Stated
	28/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

(12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 2 of 1914

E & A
W.O. 50-15
07.19.15

Serial No.

31 Forwarded unofficially with Director of Industries & Commerce for favour of perusal & return

V. Chirol
30/8/15

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-1-15

Edy file 2 of 14 (13

Del 32 Whether it is desirable to spend a large sum of money or not to enable Mr. Garlapuri Sastry to acquire a practical knowledge of soap making depends upon his qualifications. I know nothing about him; but in the file there are a number of testimonials from the Chemists under whom he has worked which speak very highly of his qualifications. I also observe in the file a note of mine dated the 5th August 1913 in which I state, "It is usual to pay a heavy fee, from £.100/- to £.300/- for practical instruction of this kind." When Government granted Mr. Garlapuri Sastry a scholarship they were aware that if he were to get practical instruction in soap making, they would have to pay some firm a heavy premium. In the event it has so turned out and the question now arises as to whether a premium of 300 guineas should be paid to enable Mr. Garlapuri Sastry to obtain an opportunity to acquire a thorough practical knowledge in the art of soap boiling in all its various branches.

33 I regret I am unable to agree with the Financial Secretary that soap making is one of the simplest of chemical industries. This is a mistake and I further cannot accept his statement that many have learnt soap making in Europe and practised it on a factory scale in India. Many may have acquired a smattering of soap boiling and I have yet to learn that the knowledge so acquired has been of any practical benefit either to themselves or to the country. The Financial Secretary proposes that the scholarship should be terminated and that Mr. Garlapuri Sastry should be ordered to return to India and place himself under Mr. Chackraborti, who is generally employed to carry out preliminary experiments in soap making at the Indian Institute of Science. Mr. Chackraborti

has

Enc 7 2 C (12

has not satisfied me that he is a thoroughly competent soap maker according to the latest methods. That he has a considerable knowledge of soap boiling, I am prepared to admit and he is doing the work which we require of him as well as he can be expected, considering the rather inadequate equipment for soap boiling with which he is provided; but it must be remembered, and this the Financial Secretary has probably forgotten, that we are only attempting to make common bar soap such as is largely used in this country and I have no means of ascertaining whether Mr. Chackraborti is competent to manufacture the better classes of soap, the manufacture of which is likely to be more profitable in India than that of common soap. It would make this note too lengthy to discuss our present polic which has resulted in the employment of Mr. Chackraborti; but I think it would be a farce to bring Mr. Garlapuri Sastry back to India to acquire his practical knowledge under Mr. Chackraborti. The latter gentleman is very secretive as to his knowledge and I doubt very much if he would impart anything worth knowing to students placed under him. I therefore cannot agree with the proposal of the Financial Secretary which is endorsed by the Second Councillor.

P3

34 I do not think the terms of Messrs. Gerard Brothers, Limited, as expressed in the Draft Agreement at all unreasonable, nor do I think the Government run any serious risk in regard to the £.5,000 penalty, which would be incurred if Mr. Garlapuri Sastry started working in the United Kingdom. It is to be presumed that Mr. Garlapuri Sastry is an honest man and that he will abide by the terms of his agreement. The only risk which Government run is that at the end of his three years' apprentice-ship Mr. Garlapuri Sastry might

P4

Ed 7 : 2 of '11 (15

might be tempted to disclose to other soap makers in England the methods of working and trade secrets, which he might have learnt under Messrs. Gerard Brothers. I am inclined to regard this risk as small as I doubt whether Messrs. Gerard Brothers possess any trade secrets, that is to say, that they have any special information not known to other soap manufacturers.

35 It is the policy of Government to encourage young men to go to Europe for training/for affording and if opportunities them a proper training in Europe are not arranged for, it is a waste of money. Personally, I do not share the views of Government in this respect; but I admit there is a good deal to be said in favour of the policy, provided it is thoroughly and completely carried out. To give Mr. Garlapuri Sastry the training he requires will practically cost the Mysore Government Rs.15,000/- or £.1,000/- and I may imagine Government are not prepared to abandon their policy for this reason. The course proposed by the Financial Secretary implies the virtual abandonment of this policy and the young man will come back to Mysore utterly unfit for any practical work and disappoint ed ~~him~~ at being denied opportunities he was led to expect he would get when he proceeded to England. I therefore very strongly recommend that sanction should be accorded to the apprentice-ship of Mr. Garlapuri Sastry to Messrs. Gerard Brothers on the terms mentioned in the Draft Agreement.

36 It may perhaps be worth while to deal with ~~the~~ one minor matter and that is in connection with the consideration to be given to the Manager of Messrs. George Scot & Son, who introduced him to Messrs. Gerard Brothers

Edn 7 No 2 ''/ (16

Brothers. This should be treated as a private matter between Mr. Garlapuri Sastry and the Manager and Mr. Garlapuri Sastry should be told that he must meet such expenses out of his own pocket. If Mr. Garlapuri Sastry is ~~going~~ to be employed for three years continuously in a factory of Messrs. Gerard Brothers, the scholarship which I understand is £.187-10-0 a year is an extremely liberal provision for his personal expenditure. By this time he should be able to look after himself in England and it is undesirable that he should have more money than is actually necessary to keep him in comfort. It is in my opinion, therefore quite unnecessary that he should be given any special travelling allowances and I would suggest that if he is allowed to go to Nottingam, he should be required to submit a brief quarterly report of a technical character dealing with the work he is doing. This report should be a record of his technical experience and may possibly be of considerable use to us in our experimental work connected with the soap industry.

37 I am in entire agreement with the Financial Secretary that the training of Mr. Garlapuri Sastry is a very expensive business and he should be clearly informed that some definite return is required. It would be of great advantage to Mr. Garlapuri Sastry that he should be made to prepare this technical report every quarter and that he should be given to understand that it will be subjected to competent scrutiny and that should his record prove unsatisfactory, his scholarship will be discontinued.

Syed Ghattendon

Director of Industries & Commerce

2nd September 1915.

G. R. S. 12]

417

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Edn File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.	
38	Rec'd with the Minutes of the Director of Ind: & Commr:
39	3/9/15 The Secretary of Industries is strongly in favour of the Scholarship being continued with certain Conditions. May this file be again submitted for information to Legal Member & thereafter with-
40	Legal) 3/9 Hy 3/9 May be considered at a meeting of Council 4/9/15
	2nd Member 1st Member
	7/9 7/9 Legal Member)
42	I am inclined to agree with Mr. Chatterton. It is better to have one fully trained man

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-1-15

417

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191

Serial No.

than ten unperfectly trained ones in such matters. I would save the money from other helps & grant this scholar the opportunity of an apprenticeship.

43 It is unlikely that Mr. Gubbalpuri will be able to start a private Company after his return. But I am prepared to advocate that a power factory may be started when he returns, and worked till it can be demonstrated to be a successful venture & then handed over to private enterprise.

7/7/15

44 To put Key for powers & circulation (The matter is urgent)

C S (i) after Diwali approval 14/9

(i) - 14/9

If approved, this will be brought up before the next meeting of the Council

Diwali 14/9

46 14/9

15-9-15.

Next meeting. Diwali 12/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1402

13.9.15

GPB—25,000—30-1 15.

GPB—1,000—19-7-13

Fms 2.14

Extract from the Proceedings of the ..... 361st ..... Meeting
of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja,
held at .....Bangalore on the..15th September .....1915.

11. SUBJECT. - (Outside the Schedule).

Further help to Mr.Saralapuri Sastri for visiting important industrial concerns to study soap-making.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

47

The proposals may be sanctioned. Two Foreign State Scholarships for 1915-16 may not be granted in order to find funds for this purpose.

Sd. M Visvesvaraya.
Dewan of Mysore

"Extract"

D. M. Narasimhalu, 1/9

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

41

Further help to Mr.Garalapuri Sastri.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 181 of 1914

Serial No.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is put up.

42 Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government .Departments..of..Education .&. Agri.., for favour of necessary further action.

By Order,

D. M Narayanrao.
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

Rl 2.0. 5 19 25

47 Forwarded with Financial Dept for favour of concurrence.

KS 1/9 2nd Dept.

11

Subd Mem Jeter?) 18/9

5 5 Ke 20/9

9

GPB—20,000—30 6-15

O.T.C

417

21

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Edn File No. 2519 of 1914

Serial No. 51

If a scholarship with factory premium &c is sanctioned I would respectfully suggest that-

(a) the period should be definitely fixed as not exceeding two years i.e. the contemplated third year should be definitely negatived This will make the total cost about Rs 18,000.

(b) The responsibility as regards £5000 should be Mr Garalpouris and not the Mysore Governments. It is hardly right that the Mysore Govt should undertake to lose £5000 in case Mr G. willingly or inadvertently commits an indiscretion.

M
21/9/15

Rd 21/9/15
9 SR

Br VI
Rd. 23.9.15
5-2 Submd. for orders.
8/R
24.9.15
5-3 Mr Scott will be able to say whether he will at all be committing any indiscretion

Sec)
5-4 With. Dept Final Note
K
27/9

20/9/15
Sec)
5-5 Final note appd: and signed by First member is sent up-
M S
2.10.15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 25,000 - 2.11-11

514

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ed File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.	
1916 2·10·15	With D-S N. Memorandum & 4/10/15 — 4 2/10 Rec'd 4 5/10
1969 6·10·15	

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB– 20,000—30-6-15

EEU 214

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject:--

Further help to Mr.Garalapuri Sastri for visiting important industrial concerns to study soap-making.

Flag N. Mr.Garalapuri Sastri was given a scholarship of £ 200 per annum for 3 years for studying in the United -- Kingdom Applied Chemistry in special relation to the industry soap and candle making, wood distillation and extraction and Flag N. purification of oils. (Vide G.O.2196-8/Edn.346-12-5 dated -- 22-8-13). It was also stated in the G.O. that he should acquire a thorough practic l knowledge so as to be able to set up and manage one or more of such industries on his return.

2. In July 1914 the Inspector Gener l of Educ tion -- submitted for the orders of Government a proposal to train -- Mr.Sastri in Messrs.Alexander Finlay's Soap, Candle and --- Glycerine Works at Belfast as an apprentice on certain conditior The conditions advanced by the Firm were not acceptable to -- Flag X Government and they informed the Inspector General of Education that they might pay for the course at £ 500 a year for 2 years

Paper under discussion

3. Now the Inspector Gener l of Educ tion has submitted copies of correspondence between Messrs. Gerard Brothers, a -- leading Firm of Soap-makers in Nottingham and Mr.Garalapuri Sastri regarding the terms on which the firm are willing to Enc 4 above take him as an apprentice, and also copy of a draft agreement to be executed by the Government of Mysore,Mr.Garalapuri Sastri and the Firm. Under this agreement a premium of 100 Guineas a year has to be paid to the Company. In addition , Mr.Garalapuri Sastri has to bind himself not to divulge trade

secrets learnt or to engage in this business anywhere in England and if he commits any breach of these condition to pay £ 5000 as liquid test damages. Either party may terminate the agreement in case of breach and disputes that may arise are to be submitted to the arbitration of the -- Chairman of the Chamber of Commerce in Nottingham.

Pages 7, 8 & 9 of office note

4. The Financial Secretary is of opinion that an -- expenditure of Rs.25,000 with risk of an additional Rs. 75,000 is for too much for training in soap-making and -- that a smaller sum should be enough not only for training an expert but in getting a moderate-sized soap-factory as well.

Pages 13 & 16 of the office note.

5. The Director of Industries and Commerce who was also consulted in the matter is in favour of Mr. Garalapuri Sastri being further trained as suggested by the Inspector General of Education . He thinks that it would be a waste of money to send students for foreign training and deny them proper opportunities for obtaining a full course of training. He however suggests that the consideration to be given to the Manager of Messrs. Geo. Scot & Son for -- having introduced Mr. Sastri to Messrs. Gerard Brothers, should be paid by Mr. Garalapuri Sastri himself as the -- scholarship given to him is sufficiently liberal.

6. In matters of this kind, unless we get the -- students thoroughly trained to set up independent business the money spent on their education is altogether wasted . It is much better to train one man fully than to give only a partial or theoretical training to three or four men. As for the liquidated damages, Government absolutely -- undertakes no risk, as the apprentice alone is personally bound by this condition. Accordingly it has been re-

Page 15 of office note.

solved in Council that the proposal may be sanctioned, two foreign scholarships of this year being saved to find fund.

Edn 2 - 14

for this purpose.

7. The scholarship granted to Mr. Garalapuri Sastri may be extended for another year from 1st October 1916. The period of apprenticeship will be limited to two years from 1st October 1915. The Inspector General of Education together with the Director of Industries and Commerce will be requested to keep in touch with the progress made by Mr.Garalapuri Sastri and obtain quarterly reports and satisfy themselves that he is getting the -- required technical knowledge and training.

H.H. Wanjimundayya

Dated 2/10/15 First Member of Council.

Eon L-14 '16

Submitted to His Highness.

Flag V

I submit herewith a 'final' note by the First Member of Council recommending the extension of the - - foreign scholarship granted to Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastri by another year.

Flag N

2. The period of the scholarship already sanctioned expires on the 1st October 1916 and it is proposed to extend it by another year so as to enable him to put in two years' apprenticeship under Messrs. Gerard Brothers Ltd., Soapmakers, Nottingham. The premium to be paid each year to the firm has been fixed at £105, and this sum will have to be paid by the Government in addition to the scholarship. These proposals which have been approved in Council may be sanctioned. As suggested by the First Member, the Inspector General of Education and the Director of Industries and Commerce will be requested to make all necessary arrangements to satisfy themselves from time to time that the progress of the candidate is satisfactory and that he is deriving proper benefit from the training.

* Page 19 of office note
@ Paragraph 7 of First members Final note (Flag V)

M. Viswanatha —

SDM

18th Oct 1915.

11

417

14

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

No. 4355
1.8.10.15

332

Subject.

Proposal to extend the foreign scholarship of Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastri for one year from the 1st October 1915.

Order.

~~KRW.~~

20/10/15

Secretary's Note

21.10.15

Dewan 23.10

Dewan 23.10

Please look up papers
Secretary. Dewan to
come before this evening

23/10/15

Dewan
10.11.15.

Dewan 5/11

Secretary

Rai B

J. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Edn File No. 2 of 1914

Serial No.

A Draft G.O. is put up as directed. P.A. is for consideration whether the D.G. of Edn. should be authorized to execute the agreement on behalf of the Govt.

Submd.

Jrassfield

8/10

23.10.15.

24/10

Draft approved

24/10/15

1st Member

Draft appd by First member

25 10.15

MSS

25/10

Please verify the D.O. before order. Necessary request the Hon'ble 1st Member to sign.

24/10

(Fiddy Dft. put up.)

24.10.15

Do the D.G. of Edn. comply with Govt. & Dy. G. to sanction the ext. of the Schs. for by one year.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

ED File No. 2 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
208	To The D. G. of Education in Mysore " Comptroller, through Dr II " Director of Industries & Commerce No: b 37-39/ED 2-14 Dy 25/10/15 Sanctions the extension of Mr. Garalapuri Sastri's Scholarship for another year from 1.10.16 to enable him to serve in all an apprenticeship for two years, the premia for the two years amounting to £ 210 being paid by Govt., and passes orders on other points Subd. with draft and fair endorsement Communicating the GO to the Comptroller. Hon Dy. 29/10/15 Communicate he. 2/11/15 Communicated 2/11/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

D. O. No. 2484

D. O. 1038

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION
Gen. L-14 IN MYSORE,

30

Bangalore, 24th February 1915.

My dear Sir,

Mr. Garalapuri Shastry has sent me a copy of his letter of the 28th January last addressed to you. It is difficult to make out the mind of this student. He has been paid in full his scholarship for the present quarter and the next instalment of his scholarship falls due only in the beginning of April next. On the 21st January last, he cabled to the Comptroller for remitting £25 at once and the Comptroller refused the request as there was no money due to him. On the 12th January last, I wrote to him in reply to his request for £60, that only £37½ was due to him and asked him how he would like this balance of scholarship to be arranged to be paid to him. To this inquiry I have received no reply.

On the 27th December last, he wrote to me saying that Mr. Arnold could not get him any introductions to visit any of the factories and therefore he wished to go to America. On receiving this letter I wrote to

the

the American Consul at Bombay and he has written to his Government for helping the student and is waiting for a reply. In the meanwhile, Mr. Shastry is supposed to continue to study in the Imperial College of Science and also the University College, London.

Mr. Shastry however, sends no definite replies to the inquiries made by me and takes his chance of getting more money by writing to Government, to the Comptroller and to me as suits him at the time. I fear that this student is spending more than he ought to on his personal needs and on sea-side resorts and that it is necessary that he should know once for all from you that no more money can be given unless he sends his programme of studies and obtains approval to the same.

I am sorry that I have to write in this strain regarding a student in a distant country, but he is to blame for the uncertainties he is creating by not following any definite programme and changing his course of study just as it suits him without reference

to

to any one.

Yours sincerely,

K. Shamanna Rao.

To

M. Visvesvaraya, Esq., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore.

P.No.5156

DIARY No. 1974

19-10

SECTION Edn

FILE No. 2-14.

SERIAL No. 134

c/o Messrs Coutts & Co.,
Bankers,
440, Strand. W.C.

28th Jan.1915

To

M.Visweswariah Esq., M.I.C.E., C.I.E.,
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

When I was giving up the hopes of ever visiting some Oil works, Soap factories and Candle manufactories, I had the peculiar fortune to get several introductions to some of the well-known factories in London and also outside London. Last week I visited (1) the City Soap Works, Wapping, E. (2) Price's Patent Candle Works, Battersea, S.W. (3) The Copenhagen oil mills, E. being a branch of the Union oil and cake mills.

My visit outside London will be to places mentioned here-below. Bristol, Manchester, Port Sunlight, Warrington, Leeds, Hull, Glasgow, Aberdeen and Dublin.

This is a rare opportunity that has been offered me, and it will be a short-sighted policy not to visit the works when there is a chance to do so, especially because those firms will not admit any visitors, least of all chemists,

so chary are they of their particular processes of manufacture in vogue in their respective factories. Many of them have already expressed their willingness to show me round, and for remaining I have not the least doubt, I shall be successful owing to the number of introductions I have from several sources. Hesitating about my chances of being admitted to one or two factories, I shall be committing a great mistake if I do not go and request the firms to admit me as a visitor on the strength of these introductions. Another important factor also comes into the question. My credit with the persons, who were kind enough to give me introductions, will be at a discount and they may contrue that I was merely bluffing with them. This would perforce place me in an awkward situation, and perhaps introduce some difficulties in my dealings with them. I am not sure either, that a similar chance of visiting the manufacturing firms will present itself to me once more. I had to wait in England for sixteen months before I could visit a Soap factory. The factory owners try to avoid showing their place over as much as possible. They do not want visitors. It is not in their interests to admit a chemist even to have a hurried look over the factory. Unless with strong personal recommendations nobody can hope to peep into their firms. Even Englishmen find it difficult

to see the works. When the conditions are such, I could not let the opportunity slip through my fingers and I have secured all the introductions.

1. Mr C.E.Mallet, Secretary to the Indian Students Dept. India Office.

2. Mr. T.W.Arnold, Educational Adviser to Indian Students.

3. Mr. W.H.Simmons, Soap-expert, Public Analyst and Lecturer on Soaps at the Battersea Polytechnic.

4. Messrs D.& W.Gibbs, The City Soap Works, Wapping. E.

5. The Union Oil and Cake Company, 21, St. Mary Axe, E.

These are my sources of introductions. Sometimes I have three or four introductions to the same place and that will increase my chances of seeing the works.

Next comes the most crucial point, namely the question of money. I had no money and I sent a wire a week back to the Comptroller, Mysore Government, -- "Please instruct twenty five pounds, urgent. Letter follows." That was the text of my cable. I have as yet received no reply. I cannot imagine what was thought of my cable. I shall be hoping to get the money, by cable instructions, after this letter reaches the Government, i.e. till about the third week in February. If by that time I do not receive any money from the Government, I shall try to raise the amount by contracting debts, and if I fail to get twenty five pounds even by the last method I shall abandon the whole scheme. I conscientiously believe

that I shall be failing in my duty if I do not take this opportunity, but I shall be helpless if I have no money.

These are commercial matters. Persons, who are rivals to each other in the same trade or who want to start a similar concern, employ all sorts of means to pry into the secrets of the most flourishing industrial concerns - if they cannot get the thing they want by hook they try to get it by crook. Even from the point of view of business principles, not only is it a dire necessity for a student of applied chemistry to visit as many factories as possible, but it will be a sheerly blind policy not to make the most of the opportunities that one may be fortunate enough to meet with.

I beg to be pardoned for any breach official decorum in trying to explain my situation frankly, and I request that this letter may be treated as confidential.

I hope the Government will consider the points of this application favourably and send the necessary instructions by cable.

I beg to remain, Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. G Sastry

D.O. No 3601/Edn 2-16.
8/29-3

Dear Mr. Shama Rao,

With reference to your D.O. No.1038 of the 24th February 1915 addressed to the Dewan re visit of Mr. S.G. Shastry to the principal soap factories in England, I am desired to say that while Government think it advisible that Mr. Shastry should visit as many soap factories as he can obtain introductions to, they however consider that he should not expect Government to pay extra for the incidental charges connected with all these trips. Government are of opinion that the scholarship already sanctioned to Mr. Shastry should suffice, if properly expended, to meet the cost of such trips. I am to reque you to be so good as to write to Mr. Shastry to this effect.

As regards the special training to be undergone by Mr. Shastry as an apprentice in one of the soap factories, if such can be arranged for, I have already communicated to you the views of Government in the matter in another connection and I trust you are taking the needful action.

25. 3. 15.

Yours sincerely,

C&N

Rao Bahadur M. Shama Rao, Esq., M.A.

Edn. 81J

6

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

No. 17342-3 / 788 Edn file

Bangalore, 21st April *1915*.

DIARY No. 2699

19-5
SEC
F'L
2-14
S
No. 149

From

RAO BAHADUR M. SHAMA, RAO, ESQ., M. A.,
Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.

To

C.S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Departments of Education and Agriculture,
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for the information of Government copies of letters dated 17th March 1915 and 19th March 1915 respectively with their enclosures, received from Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastry on the question of his ~~xxxxxxx~~ entering the Soap Factory of Messrs. Gerard and Brothers, Nottingham on certain conditions, and to state that Mr. Sastry has been asked to intimate the decision of the Directors of the said Firm in the matter of taking him in as an apprentice. On receiving his reply, my recommendation to Government will be submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Shama Rao

Inspector General.

TNR.

Encl to Edn 2-14-1471

Letter dated 17th March 1915 from Mr. Garalapuri Jastri, Mysore Government Damodar Doss Scholar in England, to the Inspector General of Education in Mysore.

Since I wrote you last, I have been trying my best to secure admission into a Soap factory. C.E. Mallet, Esq., Secretary to the Indian Students' Department, India Office, tried on my behalf and could not succeed even by his private influence. He gave me one or two introductions, which were helpful to me in the way of giving me an opportunity to visit two Soap Works. He is of opinion that it is impossible to enter into a factory here and advises me to go to America, and has promised me some introductions. Herewith enclosed his final reply.

T.W. Arnold Esq., the Educational Advisor, tried again in several other places with a similar result, and is also of opinion that I shall do well to try in America. He too gave me several introductions, which I have not been able to make use of fully, since I have fallen ill and am at present confined in the hospital His letters to me are also enclosed. Next I tried through Mr. Wilson, the Professor of Chemistry at the Battersea Polytechnic and was again unfortunate.

Mr. W.H. Simmons, Public Analyst and Soap-expert, kindly consented to make enquiries, but his attempts were directed to the same places, already given up as hopeless by Mr. T.W. Arnold and Mr. C.E. Mallet. Mr. Simmons gave me one or two other addresses and I tried independently and with no brighter results. He too gave me several introductions which will have to be made use of as soon as I get better.

Getting anxious as to what I should do, and being a little damped also in my spirits at this uniform run of ill-luck (Premium was no inducement to any of the Soap-works) I began making inquiries independently at several well-known and likely firms, and every one of them replied me in the negative. I had offered reasonable pre-

miums

premiums to all, except to Price's Patent Candle Works Ltd., (they have an extensive Soap branch also) Battersea, London. Then I offered them a premium and got a reply to go and see the Technical Manager. This was beginning to be hopeful. But after learning from me what sort of training I was in need of, the Company changed their attitude and gave me a negative reply. I was getting - - - desparate. I next tried through a Commission Agent and that was no good either.

Being almost certain that it is not worth while trying any more, I determined upon one more last attempt, and this time went to Messrs. George Scott & Sons, Ltd., Kingsway House, Kingsway, London. This is a firm of Engineers and they specialise in Soap and glycerine plants. The latter especially is universally employed, with scarcely an exception. It is through them that I got into touch with Messrs. Gerard Brothers Ltd., The Soap-works, Nottingham. This firm is willing to give me the necessary training. They are of opinion that it requires three years to learn the things thoroughly, and that they require £105 per annum (premium payable in advance) and that I should not make use of the knowledge gained there in the United Kingdom etc. Herewith sent their letter in original. I have not entered into any agreement with them, but have written to them that I shall communicate the matter to the Government and shall let them know the Government's decision as soon as I hear from India.

In one of the recent orders of the Government, I was asked to submit a suitable scheme of practical apprenticeship, and the order further stated that the Government "may be prepared" to grant £500 a year for two years, if the Government approved of my scheme. It is on the strength of this that I offered the premium to all the firms that I wrote to on the subject.

There is a sort of implied and tacit agreement between the Manager of Messrs. George Scott & Sons, Ltd., and me, that if this

arrangement

arrangement with the Soap-works at Nottingham should successfully end, agreeably to both the parties concerned, then I should show him my appreciation of his mediating efforts in some suitable way. In fact I shall have to pay him some little Commission either in cash or in kind. But for him, I could not have succeeded. This shall be, only if the Government approve of the scheme, and in that case I request the Government to grant a small sum of money for this purpose.

Should the Government sanction this scheme, it will be evident that they will have to grant me an extension of two more years (I shall be ready to join the works on the 1st of October 1915).

According to my original orders, my scholarship covers a period of three years, and I shall have completed the first two years by the 1st of October 1915. Some time back I had the honour to approach the Government on the question of family maintenance in my case, and the orders of the Government were unfavourable to me. If the Government be pleased to sanction this present scheme of my practical training, I shall only be too willing to finish my training and stay here for the required period; but this can only be done if the Government should reconsider my application for my family maintenance. I have been put to untold difficulties on this score, and I cannot afford to stay here for more than three years, unless the family maintenance is granted to me as in the case of all others. It may look indecorous to retail all my domestic difficulties and trouble, but the Government will kindly show me the favour of believing me when I say that I have been feeling the "pinch" of it very much and have run into debts in India for that purpose.

There is another thing which strikes me at this stage. Instead of entering into a contract with the Soap-works at Nottingham for a period of three years, I propose that I should sign the bond

bond for only two years and see if I cannot thoroughly manage everything within that period. If not, I may then extend the contract for one year more. If on the otherhand, I can successfully manage everything within two years, I propose to study the allied industry, namely "Candle-making". I shall have ample time during these two years to make inquiries and see if I cannot gain an admittance into a Candle-factory, either in the United Kingdom or anywhere else on the continent (War being of course over by that time) or in America. I submit this to the Government and await their decision.

I hope I have explained my situation clearly on this point and I request the Government to expedite the matters and favour me with a reply as soon as possible.

With regard to my scholarship for my third year I shall be obliged to you if you will kindly instruct Messrs. Coutts & Co. to pay me as follows:-

1st October 1915	£67	10 0
1st January 1916	£40	0 0
1st April 1916	£40	0 0
1st July 1916	£40	0 0
Total	£187	10 0

I was ill towards the end of January for about a week, and after taking a week's rest or more, I returned to my work. Early in February I went to Hull and also Leeds to visit some Soap Factories (I have written a separate letter on this subject about the middle part of January 1915). While at Leeds I had to relapse and immediately returned to London where my case was found to be Typhoid. I was removed to the Eastern Hospital (the best place for enteric patients) and I am here for the last five weeks and I shall not get my discharge for another two weeks or so. I passed some very anxious days, and since I came to the hospital I have been making a very satisfactory progress. I am allowed to sit up only since last week, and I am terribly weak. It will be some

time

time before I can be myself again, and I thank God I have escaped the calamity. I would have been very lonely and helpless, but for Mr. B.S. Iyengar, of the Mysore Government Press, who is here on deputation.

I shall be anxiously awaiting the orders of the Government on all the points raised in this letter.

"Copy"

Yours.

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter dated 19th March 1915 from Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastri to the Inspector General of Education in Mysore.

Since writing the first portion of this letter, I have received another note from Messrs. Gerard Bros., Nottingham, and I have enclosed the original herewith. It is quite clear from this that the Manager of the said soap-works, gave me an answer to my inquiries without the consultation and sanction of the Board of Directors of the said firm. Now I have been faced with one or two difficulties and I request the Government to send me the directions.-

(1) In the event of the Board of Directors of the firm of messrs. Gerard Bros., consenting to take me into their works, on the original proposal of the Manager namely, payment of £105 per annum and signing the contract binding myself not to divulge their secrets etc., - in that case shall I enter into an agreement with them?

(2) If, in their meeting, the Directors of the said firm should quash the decision of the Manager and raise the premium, I should like to know the superior limit of the premium which the Government deem reasonable, and if the Directors name a sum which falls well within the expectations of the Government, then may I enter into a contract with the soap firm?

(3) If the Directors of the said firm should decide against me, in spite of the Manager's earlier letters, and not admit me into their factory as a premium student for being trained, then the Government should kindly help me by sending their instructions to me

Hoping to be favoured with a reply as early as possible.

"C o p y"

INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Letter dated 11th March 1915 from Messrs. Gerard Bros., Ltd. Nottingham, to Mr. Garalapuri Sastry.

We duly received your letter of the 9th instant, and your remarks are noted.

In order to enable you to obtain a practical knowledge of soap making and Glycerine recovery, we think it would be necessary for you to spend at least 3 years in the works.

We understand through Messrs. Scott & Co., that you are prepared to pay 100 guineas per annum, and we should not be open to consider any figure lower than this, the premiums to be paid yearly in advance.

It would be necessary for you to sign an agreement to the effect that you would not divulge any information as to the methods of manufacture etc., or make use of any knowledge obtained whilst in the United Kingdom, and also for a further 5 years.

Perhaps you will let us know in course of post whether you would be agreeable to sign such an agreement.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

TNR

Letter dated 15th March 1915 from Messrs. Gerard Bros.,Ltd.,

Lottingham, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

We note by your letter of the 13th instant that you would be prepared to sign an agreement drawn up on the lines suggested in our last letter.

We did not anticipate that you would communicate with your Government so quickly. or we should have mentioned in our previous letter that this matter would have to be brought before our Directors before we could give you a final decision.

At the next meeting of our Directors, this matter will be discussed, and we will then write you further, saying definitely whether the matter will be in order or not.

"C o p y"

Yours

Inspector General.

TNR.

14

Letter dated 4th March 1915 from Messrs. George Scott & Son, Ltd., Engineers, Kingsway, London, to Mr. B.G. Sastry.

We thank you for your letter of the 3rd instant, and would say that we have approached some of our friends but without success so far.

Since that time we have rather allowed the matter to pass from mind, owing to heavy pressure in other directions.

We are however writing to two further firms, whom we think will be as likely as any others to consider what you suggest.

We do not wish to approach too many at one time, and we will get replies from these two before we try any of our other friends.

It would be a pity to have to go to America for what you can probably get so very much more efficiently carried out here.

At any rate you can rely on our help as far as possible.

"Copy"

Inspector General.

TNR.

15

Letter dated 8th March 1915 from Messrs. George Scott & son, Ltd., Kingsway, London, to Mr. C.G. Sastry.

We have to inform you that our friends Messrs. Gerard Bros., who, in our opinion are extremely skillful soap makers, dealing with a large variety of products, are willing to consider your proposition.

They say of course that it would be necessary to talk things over before they could come to any final decision, but at the moment they are quite willing.

It would perhaps be better for you now to write to them direct referring to our introduction, and the full address is.-

Messrs. Gerard Bros., Ltd., The Soap Works, Nottingham.

"C o p y"

Yrs.

Inspector General.

TIR.

Letter dated 11th March 1915 from the Principal, Royal Technical Institute, Salford, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

In reply to your letter of the 7th instant which I have delayed answering until I had seen the lecturer in the subject, I regret to inform you that we have no facilities for conducting experiments on a comparatively large scale. The Class in Oils, Fats and Waxes consists of a lecture class for 1 hour, covering the syllabus of City and Guilds of London Institute, Grade I, followed by 1½ hours' practical in which the technical analysis of Oils anf Fats, saponification value and other chemical constants are determi ed.

We have no means of conducting experiments such as you mention in your letter.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 8th March 1915 from Mr. A.K. Smith of Messrs.

Joseph Watson & Sons. Ltd., Whitehall Soap Works, Leeds, to Mr.S.G. Sastry.

I was sorry to hear you had been ill and hope you will soon be completely set up again.

I regret Messrs. Watson do not care to take students into their Works at all - I mean to work. I mentioned the matter to Mr. Watson but am afraid no arrangements can be made.

If you are down this way any time you will be welcome to go over the works again and to spend a bit longer time in them.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter dated 8th March 1915 from Messrs. William Cossage & Sons, Ltd., Warrington, London, to Mr. S.G. Sastri,

---

In reply to the request in your letter of the 5th instant we regret to say that we have no vacancy for an apprentice.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 6th March 1915 from Messrs. Ogston & Tennant, Ltd., Aberdeen, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

We are duly in receipt of your favour of 5th instant and note contents.

We make it a rule not to take any apprentices or learners into our Works here so we are unable to entertain your application

---

"Copy"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 8th March 1915 from Messrs. Chiswick Polish Co., Ltd., Burlington Lane, Chiswick, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

In reply to your favour of the 4th instant we are sorry that we are unable to entertain your proposal.

Possibly also you are not aware that our Soap Works limit themselves to the manufacture of Soft soap, and do not touch hard Soaps.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 6th March 1915 from Messrs. John Barrington & Sons, Ltd., Dublin, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

We thank you for yours of the 5th instant, but we are sorry we have no opening for a student such as you desire.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 3rd March 1915 from Mr. William H. Simmons, 96, Victoria Street, Westminister, S.W., to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

Please excuse the delay in answering your letters. I have been intending ever since I first heard you were ill to write you, but have been desparately busy, and as you will understand, two lectures a week at Battersea does not leave me very much spare time. Was very sorry to hear you were so ill, but glad to know from your letter received this morning that you are now much better.

As regards Soap lectures notes, shall be pleased to lend you these in due course when you are better again.

The address of the firm at Brentford is T.B. ROWE & CO., Thames Soap Works, Brentford., and that of Wheen's is R.Wheen & Sons, The Soapery, Deptford, S.E. The only firm I can think of at the moment who might be willing to take you as a pupil are The Chiswick Soap Works, Chiswick. It might be worth while writing to them.

Was glad to hear you had such an interesting time up North, hope you will soon be able to complete your tour.

With kind regards, and best wishes for your speedy recovery.

"C o p y"

Yours,

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter dated 5th March 1915 from The Price's Patent Candle Company Limited, Battersea, London, to Mr. S.C. Sastry.

We duly received your letter of the 3rd instant and in reply beg leave to say that we do not engage apprentices either in the Candle or Soap branches of our business.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 8th March 1915 from the above to Mr. S.C.Sastry.

Referring to your letter of yesterday we shall be glad if you will call here on Wednesday next at 2.30 o'clock.

Please ask for our Technical Manager (Mr. McArthur) and oblige.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

Letter dated 11th March 1915 from the Technical Manager of the above Company, to Mr. S.C. Sastry.

Your application of yesterday for a position as a premium pupil here for say 2 years in our Soap and Candle Depts. has been carefully considered. I regret that this is not in accordance with our practice, and I am unable to afford any opening here which will give you the advanced technical training which you desire. (Copy)

Letter dated 23rd February 1915 from Mr. C.E. Mallet, Secretary to the Indian Students' Depar'ment, India Office, Whitehall, London, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

I was very sorry to hear that you were laid up with illness. As soon as you are able to get about again, I want you to go and see Dr. Arnold, who has all your papers, and who will take over the matter with you. I have sent on the correspondence to him.

As you know, we have tried in a good many quarters and have managed to get two or three offers from Soap Manufacturers to show you over their works. I do not know if you have yet had the talk with Mr. K.Gibbs which I suggested: I was in hopes that that might lead to something useful. If all efforts fail in England - and it is very difficult to get admission for the purpose of continuous practical work - there are of course possibilities in America to consider, and it is for you to think seriously whether you would care to take the risk of going over there. If you decide to go we might be able to give you a few introductions. But you would have to consider very carefully whether these were likely to lead to practical work.

The information we have collected, however, is all in Dr. Arnold's hands, and I will ask you to go and see him on the subject as soon as you are able to do so.

"C o p y"

Yours,

Inspector General.

TNR.

L5

Letter dated 24th February 1915 from Dr. T.W. Arnold, Educational Adviser to Indian Students, London, to Mr.S.G. Sastry.

I was exceedingly sorry to hear that you had been ill and I sincerely hope that you are now much better. As you know both Mr. Mallet and myself have been making enquiries as to the possibility of gaining admission for you into some Works for practical training, but, although we have made them very extensive I fear that they have not resulted in very much. I do not as yet know what success you had during your tour but, as Mr. Mallet tells me that you have written to him asking what we have been able to do, I presume you have not been able to make any arrangements on your own account. Mr. Kenneth Gibbs of the Wapping Soap Works has promised to show you over his Works if you will make an appointment with him sometime and he has also very kindly volunteered to make one or two enquiries from his friends in he trade. I think that as soon as you are better it would be well to make an appointment with him and see what can be done. Would you please let me know when you think you are likely to be allowed to leave the hospital? As soon as you are able to come here we can talk matters over, but I very much fear that the prospects of securing practical work in this country are remote.

"C o p y"

Yours.

Inspector General.

TIR.

Letter dated 24th February 1915 from Mr. K. Gibbs of the Wapping Soap Works, Wapping, London, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

I am afraid you did not get my last letter as in it I mentioned that my Board would not sanction my having any more pupils. I can think of no one likely to take one but it might be worth while your trying Messrs. Theen & Co., of Deptford, or T.B. Rowe of Brentford. Sorry to hear of your illness and trust you will soon be allright again.

(C O P Y)

Yours.

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter dated 3rd March 1915 from Dr. T.W. Arnold, Educational Advisor to Indian Students, London, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

Thank you for your letter. I am pleased to know that you are making some steps towards recovery and I hope that you will soon be very much better.

I am glad that you had an interview tith Mr. Gibbs but I am sorry that he was not able to induce his Board to take you as a pupil. I am writing to the twi firms which he has suggested and I will let you know what they say as soon as I have received their answers. I return Mr. Gibbs' letter herewith.

With best wishes for speedy recovery.

"C o p y"

Yours

Inspector General.

TNR.

46

Letter dated 5th March 1915 from Dr. T.W. Arnold, Educational Adviser to Indian Students, London, to Mr. S.G. Sastry.

As I told you in my letter of the 3rd instant I have written to both the firms mentioned to you by Mr. Gibbs. I have received their answers this morning and I very much regret to say that both of them are unfavourable. Neither of the firms are willing to consider the proposal I made to them. I regret their attitude very much but I am afraid nothing further can be done. Mr. Mallet and myself have done our utmost to secure your admission to some firm. Mr. Mallet has appealed to two of his personal friends and I have written to a number of well-known firms on your behalf. I think it is now quite clear that soap manufacturers in this country have made up their minds not to admit any pupils who are not likely to remain in their employ.

With best wishes for your speedy recovery.

"C o p y"

Yours

Inspector General.

TNR.

DIARY No. 417

SEC
F. No. 2-14
S. R. No. 191

27

Edn. 15]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE.

No. 1789 / 71 Enc. 3

Bangalore, 28th July *1915*

From

Rao Bahadur

M. SHAMA RAO, ESQ., M.A.,

Inspector-General of Education in Mysore.

To

D.V. Narasinga Rao, ESQ., B A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Education and Agriculture *Department.*

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 17342-3/788 dated 21st April 1915 in the matter of apprenticeship of Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastri in the Soap and Glycerine Works of Messrs. Gerard Bros., Nottingham, I have the honour to state that Mr. Sastry informs me in his letter dated 14th May 1915 (copy enclosed) that the Firm are willing to take him as an apprentice on certain conditions and prays that in case the conditions are accepted by Government, his term of scholarship may be extended by one year from 1st October 1915.

A draft agreement, (enclosed herewith) to be entered into by the Mysore Government, containing the conditions on which the Company will take Mr. Sastry as an apprentice was sent to me by the Company's Solicitor, Mr. Bernord [illegible] Wright, with his letter dated 15th May 1915 and the Government Advocate, Mysore, to whom it was forwarded by me for favour of his opinion, states in his letter No. 10 dated 3rd July 1915 (copy enclosed) that the conditio though clear are of a "somewhat restrictive character" particul clauses 1 and 5.

I agree with the Government Advocate in thinking that in clause 2 of the Agreement, instead of "in proper proportion to

28

part of the premium" referred to therein the 'whole of the premium' should be made returnable since the Company stipulate for £5,000 damages, in clause 6 -a sum too heavy to be passed over lightly- to which I have to invite the special attention of Government. The other points to which the Government Advocate refers are not, in my opinion, deserving serious consideration.

Should Government be pleased to approve of the Agreement as it is, and of the continuance of the scholarship to Mr. Garalapuri Sastri for another year, I shall have the stamp impressed on the agreement as proposed by the Government Advocate. Should Government, however, deem it necessary to have the alteration suggested in the foregoing para. made, I shall write to the Company's Solicitor on the subject.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. Thomson.

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter dated 14th May 1915 from Mr. S. Garalapuri Sastri to the Inspector General of Education in Mysore.

In continuance of my last letter, I have the honour to stat that I have had much correspondence with Messrs. Gerard Bros.,Soap Makers, Nottingham. I have submitted all the further correspondence on the subject along with this letter and await the instructions of the Government. It is needless to mention that this is the best chance, that I shall ever get in this Country of entering into a factory that manufacture all varieties of soap (Household, Toilet, Textile Soaps, etc.) and also recover and refine their Glycerine. They have also plants for causticising their own alkali and concentrating it. To a certain extent they recover fats from restaurant scraps. They have a complete equipment for all the work required and their plants are up to date. I was allowed to go over their works when I went to Nottingham to settle the preliminary details of the Agreement between the Company on one side, and the Government of Mysore, and me on the other. From the correspondence submitted it will be evident that I had to introduce several alterations in the draft agreement to safeguard my interests, and these changes have been introduced also as far as possible. The agreement in its final form will be forwarded to the Government by the Solicitor of the Company, Mr. Wright, and his letter may go by the same post as mine, or perhaps a week later. I hope the Government will approve of the arrangements made hitherto, and sanction the required premium for the purpose. There were several other points raised in my form letter and I shall feel greatly obliged if the Government will kindly issue prompt and definite instructions on those points. They were in connection with the following subjects:(1) if I should take up to work at Messrs Gerard Bros., I shall have to appreciation of the efforts made by a third party to get me an introduction to the said Soap works. I had requested the Govern-

Government to grant a small sum of money for the purpose (2) In case the Government approve of and sanction this present schome I shall be awaiting tho orders with regard to the extention of my scholarship after September 1916. (3) The question of my family maintenance. I have explained my present position with regard to this matter sufficiently clearly in my former letter.

With reference to letter No.16783/1889 dated 12th April 1915 I have the honour to state that my scholarship for the next year may be distributed as mentioned below:-

1st of October 1915	£67	10	0
1st of January 1916	40	0	0
1st of April 1916	40	0	0
1st of July 1916	40	0	0

I hope instructions have been issued to the Bankers to pay me £37--10---0 on the 1st of July 1915.

With regard to the other point in the same letter, namely to visit as many Soap factories as I can obtain introduction to, I could have done so, especially after a recent grant of £50 for my extra expenses. In fact I did to a certain extent visit the factories, but unfortunately I was down with Typhoid and confined in the Hospital, and this illness has left me poorer and more anxious than I ever was in England, and visiting the factories outside London is an impossible thing for me at present. I have scarcely enough to keep myself "going", let alone going and visiting the factories. The illness was a most dreadful thing and I thank God that nothing worse happened to me when the terrible typhoid was on me. Under those circumstances I am once more forced to approach the Government on this question of defraying my travelling expenses when I visit factories, and await their decision in the matter.

The places I have this session visited are as follows:-

1. Kestner Evaporating Plant - Mitcham Junction
2. Leadenhall Glue Works - London
3. Fulham Gas Works, London
4. Price's Patent Candle Works, Battersea
5. The City Soap-Works, Wapping
6. Messrs. Rose Downs and Thompson - Oil Mills Machinery, Hull
7. Messrs. Watson & Co., Soap works, Leeds.
8. Messrs. Gerard Bros., Soap Works, Nottingham.

I hope the Government will kindly expedite the matter, and issue their orders so as to reach me before September 1st. Whether I shall go to Nottingham and work in the factory, or stay here in London and continue to work in the Colleges. I want the whole month of September to make my arrangements. That is why I should like to have the orders as early as possible.

"C o p y"

Yours

Inspector General.

TNR.

Letter No. 10 dated 3rd July 1915 from the Government Advocate, to the Inspector General of Education in Mysore.

In reply to your letter No 20770/3529 dated 19th June 1915 regarding the deed of apprenticeship of Mr. S. Geralapuri Sastri I have the honour to state that the terms of the instrument though clear are of a somewhat restrictive character particularly clau 1 and 5. Though these clauses and clause 4 are commonly to be found in service contracts it is somewhat hard that they should find a place in an aprentice deed. Perhaps the justification may lie in his being designated as "General Assistant" in the Soap Works.

2. In Clause 1, he is required to devote all his time and attention exclusively to the Company's business. If in his covenant with Government he is obliged to undergo any other course of study or training during this period he will not be able to carry it out.

In clause 2, the concluding portion about the return of "proper proportionate" part of the premium is rather vague. I would suggest the whole of the premium being made returnable since the Company under clause 6 stipulate for £5000 liquidated damages under the circumstances referred to therein.

In Clause 5, line 3, I would suggest that the words "or at any time after the determination of the service herounder or of any such continued or other service" may be deleted if the Com [redacted] would agree.

3. The requisite stamp is R.5 and the cost of it should be borne by the apprentice student. It may be impressed on the instrument itself in the Government Stamp office, before execution thereof. I shall have it done if the document is sent to me for that purpose.

4. The document received with the letter under acknowledgment is herewith returned.

"C o p y"

Inspector General.

TNR.

Exh 1 Con 2-14 4

A N A G R E E M E N T made the day of

1915 B E T W E E N THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE, INDIA

(acting by.....) of the 1st part

S Garalapury SASTRY now residing at 99 Sotheby

Road Highbury Park London N. Student of the 2nd part and

GERARD BROTHERS LIMITED whose registered office is in the

City of Nottingham (hereinafter called "the Company") of the

3rd part W H E R E A S the said S. G. Sastry at the request

and with the consent and approval of the party of the 1st

part is desirous of becoming an apprentice or student

assistant in the Soap Works of the Company at Nottingham

for the purpose of learning the business of Soap & Glycerine

Manufacture and Recovery upon the terms and conditions herein-

after contained N O W THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES and DECLARES

as follows :-

1. THE COMPANY will employ the said S. G. Sastry as a general assistant in their soap works at Nottingham and he will during such service devote all his time and attention exclusively to the Company's business and obey all the reasonable and proper instructions and directions of the Directors and management of the Company and will in all things be of good conduct and use his best endeavours to promote the interests

of the Company's business.

The said S. G. Sastry will observe and keep the hours required in the Company's works for the kind of work on which he may from time to time be employed.

2. THE SERVICE hereunder shall be for a period of two years from the 1st day of October 1915 with the option for the said S. G. Sastry to remain in such service for a further period not exceeding one year.

During such service the Company will give to the said S. G. Sastry reasonable opportunity of learning the business of Soap Manufacture & Glycerine Recovery in all branches as carried on by the Company, and will at all reasonable and proper times at the request of the said S. G. Sastry give him all general and technical information within the knowledge of the directorate or management of the Company so as so far as may be to enable the said S. G. Sastry to obtain a proper and efficient knowledge of the said business of soap manufacture & glycerine recovery.

If the Company shall not duly perform the agreement on their part contained in this clause, the said S.G. Sastry shall (prov-ided he has ~~duly~~ observed and performed the agreements on his part contained in these presents) be entitled

'6

(by one month 's previous notice in writing to the Company) to determine this agreement and to the return of a proper proportionate part of any premium paid in advance. Any question as to whether the Company has failed so to perform the said agreement on their part shall be referred to arbitration as hereinafter provided.

3. THE PARTIES of the 1st and 2nd parts jointly and severally agree to pay or cause to be paid to the Company the following amounts by way of premium or consideration in respect of the service aforesaid :-

(a) On or before the 1st day of October 1915, the sum of 100 guineas. (£105).

(b) On or before the 1st day of October 1916, the sum of 100 guineas (£105).

(c) On or before the 1st day of October 1917, the sum of 100 guineas (£105) (if the service shall be extended for an additional year) or (if the service is extended for a less period than a year) such proportion of 100 guineas as the period of extension shall bear to one year.

4. THE said S. G. Sastry hereby AGREES with the Company that during his service under the Company whether under this agreement or otherwise and also after the termination from any cause or by any means whatever of the service hereunder or of his service under the Company in any capacity or on

any terms he will not without the consent in writing of the Company's Directors either on his own account or as the servant agent representative or partner of any other person firm or Company in the United Kingdom of Great Britain & Ireland act assist be employed concerned engaged or interested directly or indirectly in the business of a Soap or Glycerine Manufacturer or dealer or in the manufacture or sale of articles usually sold or produced by soap manufacturers AND ALSO that he will not personally or otherwise obtain or influence (or attempt so to do) customers within such area as aforesaid for any person firm or company (other than the Company) carrying on any business of the nature or kind aforesaid whether within or outside the said area AND ALSO will not at any time whether within or outside such area employ exhibit or use in any connection with any business or otherwise and whether alone or in combination with or by way of addition to his own or any other name or names nor in any manner any of the trade names under which the Company may during or at the time of determination of such service be using in connection with their business or any part thereof AND will not after such determination in any manner whatever represent himself as being employed by or having any connection with the Company or their business.

5. THE said S. G. Sastry will not at any time during his service hereunder or during his service with the Company in any capacity or on any terms or any time after the determination of the service hereunder or of any such continued or other service without the consent in writing of the Company's Directors disclose or permit to be disclosed in any manner whether directly or indirectly to any other person persons firms or corporations any knowledge which the said S. G. Sastry may now have or have obtained or may hereafter have or obtain of any of the special or secret methods or process of manufacture or treatment of products and materials used or carried on by the Company or disclose to any other person persons firms or corporations the names of the Company's customers but will in all things now and hereafter work and act loyally in the interests of the Company, but this provision shall not after the termination of the service hereunder hinder or prevent the said S. G. Sastry from carrying on or being concerned in the business of a soap or glycerine manufacturer provided that such business is in all respects outside the said United Kingdom of Great Britain & Ireland.

6. IN the case of any breach of the agreement contained in the fourth and fifth clauses hereof the said S. G. Sastry

shall pay to the Company the sum of £5000 as and for liquidated and ascertained damages and this shall be in addition to any remedy of the Company by injunction or otherwise to restrain the said S. G. Sastry from continuing or repeating any such breach and the proceedings so to restrain may be commenced either before or after and entirely without prejudice to the recovery of the said liquidated damages and so far as the said S. G. Sastry is concerned the injunction so to restrain shall be obtained by the Company as of course upon the proof of such breach.

7. IN case the said S. G. Sastry shall not observe and perform all the agreements on his part herein contained the Company may determine the said S. G. Sastry's service forthwith AND IT IS AGREED that the expression "the Company" shall include besides the said Gerard Brothers Limited their successors and assigns the Proprietors for the time being of the Company's business or any part thereof to the intent that the protective provisions herein contained shall be assignable and enure for the benefit of such successors and assigns.

8. ANY doubt claim dispute question or difference arising at any time between the parties hereto or any of them out of th

this agreement or the construction thereof or in connection with the service hereunder shall be referred to the sole arbitration and decision of the Chairman for the time being of the Nottingham Chamber of Commerce or of some arbitrator to be appointed by him (if he is unable or unwilling to accept the reference) on the application of either party hereto made after 7 days notice to the other that he or they intend to make such application.; And any such ~~application~~ reference and arbitration shall be subject to the terms and provisions of the Arbitration Act 1889 or any Staturoty modification thereof.

AS WITNESS the hands of

duly authorised to sign this agreement on behalf of the party of the 1st part, the hand of the said S. G. Sastry and the hand of Thomas Bruges Gerard, Chairman of Directors of the Company duly authorised to sign this agreement on behalf of the Company.

SIGNED by the said)
)
in the presence of)

SIGNED by the said S. G. Sastry)
)
in the presence of)

SIGNED by the said T. B. Gerard)
)
in the presence of)

DATED 1915.

THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE, INDIA

and MR. S. G. SASTRY

- with -

GERARD BROTHERS LIMITED.

F.C.D.

A G R E E M E N T

B. S. Wright,

Nottingham.

[R.S. 28]

Tidy Draft

Apprenticeship of
Mr. Saralaponi Sastri
Compld No 7 1923
9-11-15

Ed. File No. 2-16.
Serial No. 208

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191

READ—

G.O no 2496-8/Edn. 346-12-5

Date of issue 25/10/1915

Signed by Signed Mr P G D'Souza

g 22.8.13 Succeeding among other things a schp. of £200 per annum for a period of three years to Mr S Saralaponi Sastri for ORDER THEREON—No studying in the United Kingdom Applied Chemistry in special relation to the industry of soap and candle-making, wood-distillation and extraction and purification of oils.

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

2. Corresp^ce. endrig with letter no. 1789/71
d 28.7.15 from the Dy. of Edn. in Mysore
submitting copies of ~~an~~ letters between
Messrs. Gerard Brothers, Soap-makers, in
Nottingham, and Mr Garalapuri Sastri
regarding the terms on which the Firm are
willing to take him as an apprentice and
copy of a draft agreement to be executed
by the Govt. of Mysore, Mr. Garalapuri Sastri,
and the Firm, stipulating the payment of
an annual premium of 100 guineas to
the Firm for serving the apprenticeship
and binding Mr Sastri not to divulge
the trade secrets learnt nor to engage in
anywhere in England in the business of soapmaking
~~learnt~~ on a penalty of £500 towards
liquidated damages.

Order. 37—39.

With a view to enable Mr. Garalapuri
Sastri to learn soap-making thoroughly
and qualify himself to set up an
independent business if necessary Govt.
are pleased to sanction the extension of
his Schp. for another year from 1st October

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

1916. This will enable him to serve in all an apprentice ship for two years. The premia for the two years amounting to £ 210 will be paid by Govt.

2 Mr. Garalapuri Sastri will be solely responsible for safeguarding the secrets of the firm and for the payment of damages in case of breach of conditions agreed to. Govt. cannot undertake any responsibility in the matter.

3. The draft agreement submitted by the Dy. of Edn. is approved and he is authorized to execute the agreement on behalf of the Govt.

4. The additional cost involved during the year will be met from the provn. for foreign scholrs in this year's budget.

5. The Dy. of Edn. and the Director of I. etc. are requested to keep themselves in touch with the progress made by

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

Mr. Garalapuri Sastri by obtaining quarterly reports from him and to satisfy themselves that he is getting the requisite technical knowledge and training.

6. The D.G. of Edn. is requested to arrange for the payment of the amount of the premium along with the Schs. The consideration to be given to the Manager of Messrs. ~~George~~ George Scot and Son who introduced him to Messrs. Gerard Brothers should be treated as a private matter between Mr. Garalapuri Sastri and the said Manager and be paid by him from his Schs.

25/10/15

B

Secy. Ex. A.

25/10/15

To the D.G. of Edn. with copy of agreement

" Comptr. through Br II

" Dr. of I. &c